



# Cambridge International AS & A Level

---

**ENGLISH LANGUAGE****9093/12**

Paper 1 Reading

**May/June 2021**

MARK SCHEME

Maximum Mark: 50

---

<b>Published</b>
------------------

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and candidates, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which Examiners were instructed to award marks. It does not indicate the details of the discussions that took place at an Examiners' meeting before marking began, which would have considered the acceptability of alternative answers.

Mark schemes should be read in conjunction with the question paper and the Principal Examiner Report for Teachers.

Cambridge International will not enter into discussions about these mark schemes.

Cambridge International is publishing the mark schemes for the May/June 2021 series for most Cambridge IGCSE™, Cambridge International A and AS Level components and some Cambridge O Level components.

---

This document consists of **14** printed pages.

**PUBLISHED****Generic Marking Principles**

These general marking principles must be applied by all examiners when marking candidate answers. They should be applied alongside the specific content of the mark scheme or generic level descriptors for a question. Each question paper and mark scheme will also comply with these marking principles.

**GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 1:**

Marks must be awarded in line with:

- the specific content of the mark scheme or the generic level descriptors for the question
- the specific skills defined in the mark scheme or in the generic level descriptors for the question
- the standard of response required by a candidate as exemplified by the standardisation scripts.

**GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 2:**

Marks awarded are always **whole marks** (not half marks, or other fractions).

**GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 3:**

Marks must be awarded **positively**:

- marks are awarded for correct/valid answers, as defined in the mark scheme. However, credit is given for valid answers which go beyond the scope of the syllabus and mark scheme, referring to your Team Leader as appropriate
- marks are awarded when candidates clearly demonstrate what they know and can do
- marks are not deducted for errors
- marks are not deducted for omissions
- answers should only be judged on the quality of spelling, punctuation and grammar when these features are specifically assessed by the question as indicated by the mark scheme. The meaning, however, should be unambiguous.

**GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 4:**

Rules must be applied consistently, e.g. in situations where candidates have not followed instructions or in the application of generic level descriptors.

**PUBLISHED****GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 5:**

Marks should be awarded using the full range of marks defined in the mark scheme for the question (however; the use of the full mark range may be limited according to the quality of the candidate responses seen).

**GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 6:**

Marks awarded are based solely on the requirements as defined in the mark scheme. Marks should not be awarded with grade thresholds or grade descriptors in mind.

**English & Media subject specific general marking principles**

**(To be read in conjunction with the Generic Marking Principles (and requiring further guidance on how to place marks within levels))**

**Components using level descriptors:**

- We use level descriptors as a guide to broad understanding of the qualities normally expected of, or typical of, work in a level.
- Level descriptors are a means of general guidance, and should not be interpreted as hurdle statements.
- Where indicative content notes are supplied for a question, these are *not* a prescription of required content, and must not be treated as such. Alternative correct points and unexpected answers in candidates' scripts must be given marks that fairly reflect the knowledge and skills demonstrated.
- While we may have legitimate expectations as to the ground most answers may occupy, we must at all times be prepared to meet candidates on their chosen ground, provided it is relevant ground (e.g. clearly related to and derived from a relevant passage/text and meeting the mark scheme requirements for the question).

**English Language specific marking instructions:****AO1 Read and demonstrate understanding of a wide variety of texts. (Understanding)**

- Marks should be awarded for the accuracy of the candidate's understanding of the text and its features, **not** for any analysis of them which is recognised under AO3.
- Where comparison is required, marks should be awarded for the candidate's recognition of similarities and differences between the texts and their features, **not** for any consequent analysis.
- When awarding a 'best-fit' mark within a Level for each AO, consider each bullet point equally.

**AO2 Write effectively, creatively, accurately and appropriately, for a range of audiences and purposes. (Writing)**

- Marks should be awarded equally on the basis of the level of the candidate's written expression (range of features used and accuracy) and the development of their writing (its organisation and relevance to task and audience).
- When awarding a 'best-fit' mark within a Level for each AO, consider each bullet point equally.

**AO3: Analyse the ways in which writers' and speakers' choices of form, structure and language produce meaning and style. (Analysis)**

- Marks should be awarded equally on the basis of the level of the candidate's analysis of the text's elements (form, structure and language) and of the writer's stylistic choices (including how style relates to audience and shapes meaning).
- When awarding a 'best-fit' mark within a Level for each AO, consider each bullet point equally.

In response to **Question 1(a)**, candidates are required to write between 150 and 200 words. While there is no direct penalty for failing to adhere to this requirement, examiners should consider this an aspect of the response's 'relevance to purpose'. As such, adherence to the word limit is assessed as part of the second bullet point of AO2.

**Section A: Directed response**

Question	Answer	Marks
1(a)	<p><b>Read the following text, which is an extract from a speech given in 1994 by Hillary R. Clinton at the United Nations Fourth World Conference on Women. At the time, as the wife of President Bill Clinton, she was First Lady of the United States of America.</b></p> <p><b>You are a blogger for your university student website and you attended Hillary R. Clinton’s speech. Write a blog post for your fellow students, giving your personal account of the event. Use 150–200 words.</b></p> <p>Mark according to the levels of response marking criteria in Table A.</p> <p><b>Additional guidance:</b></p> <p>The comments below are illustrative and are not intended to be exhaustive. Candidates may make other valid comments that should be credited.</p> <p><b>Responses might feature the following:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Form, audience, purpose, style, conventions, language and structure will be appropriately adapted to suit task</li> <li>• Content may include key factual information such as venue, time, speaker, subject matter, etc., as well as other relevant ideas</li> <li>• A sense of voice and the persona’s personal response to the event, the speech and its content</li> <li>• Tone will be adapted to have an impact on the reader and to create an effect.</li> </ul>	10

**PUBLISHED**

Question	Answer	Marks
1(b)	<p><b>Compare your blog post with the original speech, analysing form, structure and language.</b></p> <p>Mark according to the levels of response marking criteria in Table B.</p> <p><b>Additional guidance:</b></p> <p>The comments below are illustrative and are not intended to be exhaustive. Candidates may make other valid comments that should be credited.</p> <p>Candidates may organise their response in any appropriate way. They are not required to focus on form, structure and language in separate sections.</p> <p><b>Responses might compare and analyse the following:</b></p> <p><b>Form</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• the typical text conventions used in the original extract and the candidate's own written piece</li> <li>• the ways in which the different purposes affect the content and style of the two texts</li> <li>• the ways in which the speech and written piece appeal to their respective intended audiences</li> <li>• any other relevant aspect of the form of the two texts</li> </ul> <p><b>Structure</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• the way in which long/short paragraphs or sections are used in the text</li> <li>• opening and closing the speech by thanking the conference</li> <li>• the use of discourse markers and anaphoric/cataphoric referencing</li> <li>• the way in which the text develops the idea of the conference being <i>a call to action</i></li> <li>• any other relevant structural features in the texts</li> </ul>	15

**PUBLISHED**

<b>Question</b>	<b>Answer</b>	<b>Marks</b>
1(b)	<p><b>Language</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• use of a positive lexicon</li><li>• the use of repetition for emphasis</li><li>• the way in which pronouns are used in the text for inclusivity</li><li>• use of parallel structures</li><li>• the frequent use of listing in the text</li><li>• use of the subjunctive mood</li><li>• the way in which contrasts are used in the text</li><li>• the use of rhetorical devices including: questions, triplets, allusion, etc.</li><li>• the way in which sentences are used in the text including: sentence variety/function and the use of parenthetical structures</li><li>• any other relevant language features in the texts</li></ul>	

**PUBLISHED****Marking criteria for Section A Question 1(a)****Table A**

<b>Level</b>	<b>AO1: Read and demonstrate understanding of a wide variety of texts.</b>  <b>5 marks</b>	<b>AO2: Write effectively, creatively, accurately and appropriately, for a range of audiences and purposes.</b>  <b>5 marks</b>
<b>5</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Sophisticated understanding of text (meaning/context/audience)</li> <li>• Insightful reference to characteristic features</li> </ul> <p style="text-align: right;">5 marks</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Sophisticated expression, with a high level of accuracy</li> <li>• Content is fully relevant to audience and purpose; ideas are developed throughout in a sophisticated manner</li> </ul> <p style="text-align: right;">5 marks</p>
<b>4</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Detailed understanding of text (meaning/context/audience)</li> <li>• Effective reference to characteristic features</li> </ul> <p style="text-align: right;">4 marks</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Effective expression, with a few minor errors which do not impede communication</li> <li>• Content is relevant to audience and purpose; ideas are developed in an effective manner</li> </ul> <p style="text-align: right;">4 marks</p>
<b>3</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Clear understanding of text (meaning/context/audience)</li> <li>• Clear reference to characteristic features</li> </ul> <p style="text-align: right;">3 marks</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Clear expression, with occasional errors which do not impede communication</li> <li>• Content is relevant to audience and purpose; ideas are developed clearly</li> </ul> <p style="text-align: right;">3 marks</p>
<b>2</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Limited understanding of text (meaning/context/audience)</li> <li>• Limited reference to characteristic features</li> </ul> <p style="text-align: right;">2 marks</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Expression is clear but may not flow easily, with frequent errors which generally do not impede communication</li> <li>• Content is mostly relevant to audience and purpose; ideas are developed in a limited manner</li> </ul> <p style="text-align: right;">2 marks</p>
<b>1</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Basic understanding of text (meaning/context/audience)</li> <li>• Minimal reference to characteristic features</li> </ul> <p style="text-align: right;">1 mark</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Basic expression, with frequent errors which impede communication</li> <li>• Content may lack relevance to audience and purpose; minimal development of ideas</li> </ul> <p style="text-align: right;">1 mark</p>
<b>0</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No creditable response</li> </ul> <p style="text-align: right;">0 marks</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No creditable response</li> </ul> <p style="text-align: right;">0 marks</p>



**PUBLISHED****Marking criteria for Section A Question 1(b)****Table B**

<b>Level</b>	<b>AO1: Read and demonstrate understanding of a wide variety of texts.</b>  <b>5 marks</b>	<b>AO3: Analyse the ways in which writers' and speakers' choices of form, structure and language produce meaning and style.</b>  <b>10 marks</b>
<b>5</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Sophisticated comparative understanding of texts (meaning/context/audience)</li> <li>• Insightful reference to characteristic features</li> </ul> <p style="text-align: right;">5 marks</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Sophisticated comparative analysis of elements of form, structure and language</li> <li>• Sophisticated analysis of how the writers' stylistic choices relate to audience and shape meaning</li> </ul> <p style="text-align: right;">9–10 marks</p>
<b>4</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Detailed comparative understanding of texts (meaning/context/audience)</li> <li>• Effective reference to characteristic features</li> </ul> <p style="text-align: right;">4 marks</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Detailed comparative analysis of elements of form, structure and language</li> <li>• Detailed analysis of how the writers' stylistic choices relate to audience and shape meaning</li> </ul> <p style="text-align: right;">7–8 marks</p>
<b>3</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Clear comparative understanding of texts (meaning/context/audience)</li> <li>• Clear reference to characteristic features</li> </ul> <p style="text-align: right;">3 marks</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Clear comparative analysis of elements of form, structure and/or language</li> <li>• Clear analysis of how the writers' stylistic choices relate to audience and shape meaning</li> </ul> <p style="text-align: right;">5–6 marks</p>
<b>2</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Limited understanding of texts (meaning/context/audience), with limited comparison</li> <li>• Limited reference to characteristic features</li> </ul> <p style="text-align: right;">2 marks</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Limited analysis of form, structure and/or language, with limited comparison</li> <li>• Limited analysis of how the writers' stylistic choices relate to audience and shape meaning</li> </ul> <p style="text-align: right;">3–4 marks</p>

**PUBLISHED**

<p><b>1</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Basic understanding of texts (meaning/context/audience), with minimal comparison</li> <li>• Minimal reference to characteristic features</li> </ul> <p style="text-align: right;">1 mark</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Minimal analysis of form, structure and/or language, with minimal comparison</li> <li>• Minimal analysis of how the writers’ stylistic choices relate to audience and shape meaning</li> </ul> <p style="text-align: right;">1–2 marks</p>
<p><b>0</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No creditable response</li> </ul> <p style="text-align: right;">0 marks</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No creditable response</li> </ul> <p style="text-align: right;">0 marks</p>

**Section B: Text analysis**

Question	Answer	Marks
2	<p><b>Read the following text, which is an editorial piece about the environment from the <i>LA Times</i>, an American Newspaper.</b></p> <p><b>Analyse the text, focusing on form, structure and language.</b></p> <p>Mark according to the levels of response marking criteria in Table C.</p> <p><b>Additional guidance:</b></p> <p>The analysis should address the style, form, genre, structure and language of the text (including specific and relevant references), explaining how they create meaning in relation to audience and purpose.</p> <p>The comments below are illustrative and are not intended to be exhaustive. Candidates may make other valid comments that should be credited.</p> <p>Candidates may organise their response in any appropriate way. They are not required to focus on form, structure and language in separate sections.</p> <p><b>Responses might analyse the following:</b></p> <p><b>Form</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The typical text conventions used in the editorial (journalistic form)</li> <li>• The ways in which the purpose (to present the official opinion of the publication) affects the content and style of the text</li> <li>• The ways in which the editorial appeals to its intended audience, e.g. through the serious tone and formal register used in the text</li> <li>• Any other relevant aspect of the text's form.</li> </ul>	25

**PUBLISHED**

Question	Answer	Marks
2	<p><b>Structure</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The ways in which paragraphs are constructed and sequenced in the text</li> <li>• The ways in which ideas are developed to build an argument and present an unequivocal opinion/view on the issue being considered</li> <li>• Varying paragraph length and how this enables the writer to develop certain points in depth/detail, or make high impact points for dramatic effect</li> <li>• The use of discourse markers for organisation/cohesion</li> <li>• The use of a range of cohesive devices – tense agreement, anaphoric/cataphoric references, substitution, etc.</li> <li>• Any other relevant structural feature in the text.</li> </ul> <p><b>Language</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Choice/connotations of lexis: emotive vocabulary, e.g. <i>dire, endanger, warned, extinction, ominous, wrought, despoliation, existential, threat</i>, etc.</li> <li>• Use of first-person plural pronouns to indicate collective responsibility</li> <li>• Numerical/ quantitative terms add weight to the argument</li> <li>• Use of listing in sentences such as, <i>eroding the very foundations of our economies, livelihoods, food security, health and quality of life worldwide</i>, and the effect this has on the reader</li> <li>• Contractions used to create a less formal register/ slightly conversational tone</li> <li>• The inclusion of facts and statistics to give credibility to the opinions presented in the editorial</li> <li>• The effect of the metaphor <i>Three quarters of the earth's surface bears the scars of human presence</i></li> <li>• The inclusion of quotes from third party experts</li> <li>• Use of the third person</li> <li>• Formal tone</li> <li>• Lexical fields concerned with the global environment / sustainability /climate change / natural science</li> <li>• The use of sentences containing colons to dramatically illustrate the seriousness of the situation</li> <li>• Sentence variety and function</li> <li>• The way in which adverbs are used in the text</li> <li>• Any other relevant language feature in the text.</li> </ul>	

**Marking criteria for Section B Question 2****Table C**

<b>Level</b>	<b>AO1: Read and demonstrate understanding of a wide variety of texts.</b>  <b>5 marks</b>	<b>AO3: Analyse the ways in which writers' and speakers' choices of form, structure and language produce meaning and style.</b>  <b>20 marks</b>
<b>5</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Sophisticated understanding of text (meaning/context/audience)</li> <li>Insightful reference to characteristic features</li> </ul> <p>5 marks</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Analysis is sophisticated, coherent and very effectively structured</li> <li>Insightful selection of elements of form, structure and language for analysis</li> <li>Sophisticated awareness of writer's stylistic choices, including how style relates to audience and shapes meaning</li> <li>Uses precise and fully appropriate language to link evidence with explanatory comments</li> </ul> <p>17–20 marks</p>
<b>4</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Detailed understanding of text (meaning/context/audience)</li> <li>Effective reference to characteristic features</li> </ul> <p>4 marks</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Analysis is detailed, coherent and effectively structured</li> <li>Effective selection of elements of form, structure and language for analysis</li> <li>Detailed awareness of writer's stylistic choices, including how style relates to audience and shapes meaning</li> <li>Uses effective and appropriate language to link evidence with explanatory comments</li> </ul> <p>13–16 marks</p>
<b>3</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Clear understanding of text (meaning/context/audience)</li> <li>Clear reference to characteristic features</li> </ul> <p>3 marks</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Analysis is clear, coherent and well structured</li> <li>Appropriate selection of elements of form, structure and language for analysis</li> <li>Clear awareness of writer's stylistic choices, including how style relates to audience and shapes meaning</li> <li>Uses clear and appropriate language to link evidence with explanatory comments</li> </ul> <p>9–12 marks</p>
<b>2</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Limited understanding of text (meaning/context/audience)</li> <li>Limited reference to characteristic features</li> </ul> <p>2 marks</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Limited analysis, with some structure and limited coherence</li> <li>Some appropriate selection of elements of form, structure and/or language for analysis</li> <li>Limited awareness of writer's stylistic choices</li> <li>Attempts to use appropriate language to link evidence with explanatory comments</li> </ul> <p>5–8 marks</p>

**PUBLISHED**

<b>1</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Basic understanding of text (meaning/context/audience)</li> <li>• Minimal reference to characteristic features</li> </ul> <p style="text-align: right;">1 mark</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Basic analysis, with minimal structure or coherence</li> <li>• Minimal selection of elements of form, structure and language for analysis</li> <li>• Minimal awareness of writer’s stylistic choices</li> <li>• Minimal use of appropriate language to link evidence with explanatory comments</li> </ul> <p style="text-align: right;">1–4 marks</p>
<b>0</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No creditable response</li> </ul> <p style="text-align: right;">0 marks</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No creditable response</li> </ul> <p style="text-align: right;">0 marks</p>